UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,125	03/02/2004	Alfred Fernandes	702.164	2656
	7590 10/15/200 DICAL TECHNOLOG	EXAMINER		
5677 AIRLINE	ROAD	COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
ARLINGTON,	TN 38002-9501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE ·
		1	10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/791,125	FERNANDES ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Comstock	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (6) cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	<u>uly 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-6,9-15 is/are pending in the applica	ution.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		, <b>—</b>	(PTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) X Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/31/07 (1x1pg.,1x2pgs.)</u> .	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,125

Art Unit: 3733

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleiner (4,976,740; cited by Applicant).

Kleiner teaches that the claimed processes of implanting a femoral head resurfacing component and implanting a conventional total hip arthroplasty, which includes a primary hip stem and an acetabular component, are known (see, e.g. col. 1, lines 24-33 and col. 3, lines 21-23, 29-31 and 38-42). Moreover, Kleiner recognizes the advantage of first utilizing a resurfacing component and later utiziling a conventional total hip arthoplasty if necessary (see, e.g., col. 3, lines 38-42). Kleiner does not explicitly name first, second, third and fourth procedures, for example, or explicitly specify that components that have not failed can remain in place. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art (e.g. an orthopedic surgeon) to have utilized the least invasive procedure that addresses the deficiency and not engage in unnecessary surgery where revision of a component has not become necessary, to minimize surgical procedures and risks to the patient. The structure set forth in various dependent claims has not been given patentable weight since the pending claims are drawn to a method and the noted structure does not affect the steps of the method.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,125

Art Unit: 3733

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered

Page 3

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo

Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

SUPER/ISORY PATENT EXAMINED